

# Exhibit A



1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)

2 For Defendant  
3 The Reynolds and  
4 Reynolds Company:

GIBBS & BRUNS, LLP, by  
MS. AUNDREA K. GULLEY  
1100 Louisiana  
Suite 5300  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(713) 650-8805  
agulley@gibbsbruns.com

7 Court Reporter

KRISTIN M. ASHENHURST, CSR, RDR, CRR  
Official Court Reporter  
United States District Court  
219 S. Dearborn Street, Suite 2303-A  
Chicago, IL 60604  
(312) 818-6549  
kristin\_ashenhurst@ilnd.uscourts.gov

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 THE CLERK: 18 civil 864, In Re Dealer Management.

2 THE COURT: Good morning.

3 MS. MILLER: Good morning, your Honor. Britt Miller  
4 on behalf of defendant Computerized Vehicle Registration.

5 MR. NEMELKA: Good morning, your Honor. Mike Nemelka  
6 on behalf of the individual and vendor-class plaintiff.

7 MS. GULLEY: Reynolds and Reynolds -- Aundrea Gulley  
8 appearing on behalf of Reynolds and Reynolds.

9 MR. PROVANCE: Good morning, your Honor. Matt  
10 Provance, also on behalf of Vehicle Registration.

11 THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. The good news  
12 for you guys is that I had a two-week trial in October that  
13 settled yesterday. So I'm going to get a lot more time to  
14 spend with you guys, and my other big case, which has two days  
15 of public hearings in the ceremonial courtroom next month. The  
16 bad news is that I spent all week getting that case settled,  
17 which happened about 4 o'clock yesterday. So I'm not even sure  
18 exactly what you're asking for today.

19 Can you give me the short version of what's going on  
20 here?

21 MS. MILLER: Sure. We will give you the short, short  
22 version.

23 THE COURT: Sure.

24 MS. MILLER: We filed a motion to stay discovery as to  
25 CVR, which is a defendant in only one of the cases in this MDL.

1 THE COURT: And that's the 865 case.

2 MS. MILLER: That's the -- is that the number? Yes,  
3 it's the 865. So it's a defendant only in that one case, which  
4 is the MVSC case.

5 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.

6 MS. MILLER: We filed a motion to stay discovery on  
7 that defendant for the simple reason that, one, it has already  
8 previously been dismissed once on the motion to dismiss in  
9 front of the original transferee court. We have a pending  
10 motion to dismiss, and we are hopeful that we will be  
11 successful again. We don't think that the repled allegations  
12 are sufficient. But the reality is that we have an -- as your  
13 Honor is very aware -- we have an upcoming October 12th  
14 substantial completion deadline.

15 We just received discovery on CVR on August 30th, not  
16 back on May 25th when they were due under the schedule. And we  
17 received 111 document requests on behalf of all of the  
18 individual plaintiffs, not just the one that has sued this  
19 defendant.

20 We think that's onerous in and of itself. But rather  
21 s ' waste the resources responding to those, since it is not  
22 alleged to be part of the alleged conspiracy that's the heart  
23 of all of the other cases, that it would make more sense -- and  
24 we understand, from having spoken with plaintiffs' counsel,  
25 that the reason -- the motivation for not serving CVR back in

1 May was the thought that we might have a decision on the motion  
2 to dismiss and that would guide discovery. But as it is, we  
3 are now three weeks out -- not even -- two weeks out, give or  
4 take, from the substantial completion deadline. And we have  
5 111 requests, which we think is onerous for a defendant of this  
6 size. And if there's a possibility, as we hope there is, given  
7 the prior dismissal, that CVR will be dismissed, it makes no  
8 sense to engage in these -- respond to these 111 requests,  
9 engage in what I am sure is going to be a lengthy discussion on  
10 search terms and custodians, and possibly motion practice in  
11 front of Judge Gilbert.

12 The reason we are primarily here today, although the  
13 parties have agreed on a briefing schedule for this, namely  
14 that we will -- the plaintiffs will file a response to this  
15 motion on October 3rd.

16 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.

17 MS. MILLER: And we have waived replies.

18 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.

19 MS. MILLER: But the reason we are here is because the  
20 day after we filed our motion for a stay --

21 MR. NEMELKA: Same day.

22 MS. MILLER: -- same day. Sorry. After we filed our  
23 motion for a stay, but the same day, plaintiff filed a motion  
24 to compel the exact -- and basically has the exact -- different  
25 request, but they filed that in front of Judge Gilbert. And so

1 that is up for hearing on the 5th in front of Judge Gilbert  
2 when we have a number of other discovery matters up in front of  
3 him. And so we, obviously, are concerned that that motion  
4 would get heard before ours, which was the first filed, and  
5 would obviate your Honor's province over this motion.

6 THE COURT: Well, 80 percent of what you just told me  
7 in my own mind as I'm listening to you, I said, Is this for me  
8 or Judge Gilbert? That's what's in my mind. It's kind of a  
9 hybrid here. When I can get you a ruling on the motion to  
10 dismiss, really -- and the original motion to dismiss, was this  
11 before Judge St. Eve or way back in the day?

12 MS. MILLER: Way back in California.

13 MS. GULLEY: Back in front of Judge Fischer.

14 THE COURT: So this is the transferor judge, not a  
15 transferee judge.

16 MS. MILLER: Transferor judge.

17 THE COURT: Okay. That's where I got a little  
18 confused.

19 MS. MILLER: Sorry.

20 THE COURT: Those motions to dismiss were laying in  
21 the weeds for me until the last -- whenever the last time we  
22 had our major status, and I went back. I knew that  
23 Judge St. Eve had given me a great gift by ruling on a motion  
24 to dismiss, but I didn't know she hadn't ruled on all of the  
25 motions to dismiss because I didn't go far back enough on the

1 docket. So this is one of the older motions on my docket right  
2 now.

3 MS. MILLER: Mm-hmm.

4 THE COURT: But in a way I have been kind of waiting  
5 for these other motions to get briefed, too, because when  
6 Kassie and I tackle this, it's going to be, like, all hands on  
7 deck for the two of us for probably a week or two. And you've  
8 lucked into that because I have a week or two now. So I will  
9 get you guys rulings soon, but I guess I didn't put all of the  
10 pieces together that you have got a discovery cutoff.

11 I think this -- stick to the briefing schedule you  
12 have. I will talk to Judge Gilbert about this, because he may  
13 be the one who is in the best position to decide the discovery  
14 part of this, but a very important input for him will be "when  
15 can I get a ruling on the motion to dismiss?"

16 So it's perfectly fine for me to -- you guys to give  
17 us a brief on October 3rd. I really couldn't expect a brief  
18 sooner than that.

19 We have to get through Friday, which is a reporting  
20 day for us. And we will -- we're trying to accomplish zero on  
21 the Biden list, which we're very close.

22 MR. NEMELKA: Mm-hmm.

23 THE COURT: But next Monday this will be the first  
24 thing on our docket. So if you give me a response by  
25 Wednesday, Kassie and I will have our hands around this by



1 then, and I will communicate with Judge Gilbert whether he  
2 should decide this motion or whether I should decide this  
3 motion. Because at the moment it's in front of me. I can  
4 refer it to him, obviously, but he will ask me, "When are you  
5 going to rule on the motion to dismiss?" because that's an  
6 important input. So you guys have helped me out a great deal  
7 by explaining all of this to me. And on the 3rd, if you would  
8 give me a response brief, that will be great.

9 MR. NEMELKA: We will.

10 THE COURT: And you'll see Judge Gilbert on the 5th,  
11 and he will either tell you, "Judge Dow still has that one, or  
12 I have got it." Sound good?

13 MS. MILLER: That's great.

14 We also -- we will be filing -- we've agreed to  
15 simultaneous responses, so we'll be responding to their motion  
16 to compel in front of Judge Gilbert on the 3rd.

17 THE COURT: Perfect. So we will all get the full kit  
18 and caboodle, and then on the 5th you will see him and he will  
19 either tell you, "You're going to get a written ruling from  
20 Judge Dow or it's on me, so let's talk."

21 MS. MILLER: Fair enough.

22 One other thing, your Honor, as part of this, CVR's  
23 responses to these discovery requests that were served on  
24 August 30th are due on Monday.

25 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.

1 MS. MILLER: We asked for an extension because,  
2 obviously, we don't want to file either a motion for protective  
3 order or have to respond to them, if, in fact, CVR is going to  
4 be dismissed, at which point we think it's certainly onerous  
5 for third-party discovery, and that would be a whole different  
6 discussion. We requested an extension, but plaintiffs are  
7 obviously anxious for discovery to proceed, and so they  
8 declined.

9 We would ask that given your Honor is going to address  
10 this in the short term either directly or through Judge  
11 Gilbert, that we receive an extension so we don't have to  
12 answer those on Monday, and, hopefully, we will have an answer  
13 one way or the other in the short term, and we will either  
14 proceed reasonably thereafter or will be going down a different  
15 track.

16 THE COURT: So they are due when?

17 MS. MILLER: Monday.

18 THE COURT: Monday. And what did you ask for in your  
19 extension?

20 MS. MILLER: We asked for three business days  
21 after -- if your Honor denies our requests, that we respond  
22 within three business days thereafter.

23 THE COURT: Okay. So let's assume that Judge Gilbert  
24 has this on his plate next Friday on the 5th. He's got a hell  
25 of a day on the 5th because I know what else he's doing on the

1 5th, too.

2 MS. MILLER: He's got quite the schedule that day.

3 THE COURT: Yeah. Because he has got you guys and  
4 he's got another huge case for me that day, too. So let's  
5 assume that on the 5th he gives you a ruling. So three  
6 business days after that would be the 10th of October.

7 MS. MILLER: I think that's right.

8 THE COURT: That's not holding anybody up for too  
9 long. And he conceivably could give you a ruling before that  
10 if I refer this to him on Monday and he says, "No." And I  
11 don't think that's unreasonable. And, obviously, he can catch  
12 you guys up. We're talking about eight business days at that  
13 point.

14 MS. MILLER: Mm-hmm.

15 THE COURT: No. Monday, it would be less than that.  
16 It would be, like, seven business days. That's not the end of  
17 the world to let us sort out what you're going to brief.

18 MR. NEMELKA: Okay. Can I just respond to a few  
19 points?

20 THE COURT: Yeah, sure.

21 MR. NEMELKA: We don't think there's any basis for CVR  
22 to categorically refuse to participate in discovery here.

23 THE COURT: You're going to get them anyway if they  
24 are a third party or a nonparty.

25 MR. NEMELKA: True. And, also, if they succeed on the

1 motion to dismiss, the theory would be -- is that they are a  
2 single entity with CDK, in which case CDK would have  
3 possession, custody, and control of their documents, and they  
4 would be responding as a party anyway, and so we don't think  
5 there's any basis for them to withhold responding to the  
6 discovery requests.

7 We've already been negotiating custodians. And, in  
8 fact, the first time we heard that they were going to move for  
9 a stay was last Thursday on a meet and confer. They've already  
10 agreed to four custodians, we think a few more. We provided  
11 them search terms. We had set up a call to discuss them, and  
12 then they said, "You know what, we're just going to stop. We  
13 are not going to participate in discovery." And that's when  
14 they filed on Monday and we filed our motion to compel.

15 We don't think there's any basis for them to  
16 categorically refuse to participate in discovery, and they  
17 should at least respond to the discovery requests so that  
18 process can go forward. They say that these discovery requests  
19 are burdensome. Well, let us negotiate. Let us see if there's  
20 compromises to be had, and we can't do that --

21 THE COURT: If you have got 112 and they're due on  
22 Monday, you're not getting all of them on Monday, right? I  
23 mean, that's realistic.

24 MR. NEMELKA: Yeah. They've had them -- since August  
25 30th to respond to them.

1           THE COURT: Right. But they said they were supposed  
2 to have them how much before August 30th?

3           MS. MILLER: May 25th was the deadline set in Judge  
4 St. Eve's for offensive discovery.

5           THE COURT: Okay. So everybody is going to be late  
6 here, and I don't know who -- exactly if it's a pox on  
7 everybody's house or whatever it is. But I don't think eight  
8 days is going to make the difference if you guys were two  
9 months late.

10          MR. NEMELKA: Okay.

11          THE COURT: If you guys were two months late. And I  
12 don't want to get into whether there is a defense to that, too.

13          MR. NEMELKA: Yeah. Yeah.

14          THE COURT: In the big picture, eight days isn't going  
15 to make a difference here.

16          MR. NEMELKA: Okay.

17          THE COURT: But it will allow you guys to  
18 intelligently present this, and Judge Gilbert and I to  
19 intelligently sort it out, and, you know, it can all be caught  
20 up later on the eight days. So I'm going to give you the eight  
21 days. Three days after you get a ruling, and I'm predicting  
22 you will get a ruling next Friday.

23          MS. MILLER: Fair enough.

24          MR. NEMELKA: And I'll just say we also offered to  
25 work with them on the October 12th deadline for document

1 production.

2 THE COURT: Yeah. And he's going to have you do that  
3 anyway.

4 MR. NEMELKA: Yeah, exactly. And the depositions  
5 we've noticed for them, we've given them a comprehensive  
6 schedule, calendar for depositions. The CVR depositions, we  
7 put at the end.

8 MS. MILLER: And, again, obviously, if we're a third  
9 party it's a whole different ballgame.

10 THE COURT: Yeah. But all of this is sensible. I  
11 mean, put it at the end so you have time to work this out.  
12 Work out the days, work out the onerousness, all of that.  
13 He'll have you do all of that anyway, but you guys know that,  
14 so you're a step ahead on that.

15 So I will grant you the oral motion for extension of  
16 your deadline until three business days after you get a ruling  
17 from either me or Judge Gilbert on the stay motion.

18 MS. MILLER: Thank you, your Honor. Great.

19 THE COURT: And he and I will talk next week. And I  
20 will pray for his good health and happiness on next Friday,  
21 because he has got two big cases for me next Friday.

22 MS. MILLER: Fair enough.

23 THE COURT: And I will see you guys -- once I sort all  
24 of this stuff out, it may be that you guys have to come in and  
25 explain some stuff for me. Now, I have done this very

1 successfully in a couple cases in the last month, where I send  
2 the questions out ahead of time and that makes a really nice  
3 opinion for me because I've got all -- there's  
4 nothing -- nobody buried out there. I'm just going to tell you  
5 what's on my mind, and you answer the questions. And when I  
6 have really good lawyers who can do that, you know, it gives me  
7 my best opportunity to get it right, so that might happen.

8 MR. NEMELKA: Okay.

9 THE COURT: Okay?

10 MR. NEMELKA: All right.

11 THE COURT: All right. Excellent. Thank you. Good  
12 to see you all.

13 MR. NEMELKA: Thank you, your Honor.

14 MS. MILLER: You as well.

15 MR. NEMELKA: Good to see you. Thank you.

16 (Proceedings concluded.)

17 \* \* \* \* \*

18 C E R T I F I C A T E

19 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from  
20 the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

21  
22  
23 /s/Kristin M. Ashenhurst, CSR, RDR, CRR October 2, 2018  
24 Kristin M. Ashenhurst, CSR, RDR, CRR Date  
25 Federal Official Court Reporter